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## Repetition: CFS Algorithm Invariants Lemma

Execution CFS-Algorithm, properties hold:
i) Any incomplete vertex belongs to a tree in $\mathcal{T}$.I
ii) There is always an incomplete vertex with $v \in V^{*}$ with $d_{G^{*}}(s, v) \leq r$, until $G^{*} \neq G$.II
iii) For any chosen root vertex $s_{i}: d_{G^{*}}\left(s, s_{i}\right) \leq r$.I
iv) After pruning $T_{i}$ is fully explored by DFS. All trees $T \in \mathcal{T}$ have size $|T| \geq \frac{\alpha r}{4}$.
v) All trees $T \in \mathcal{T}$ are disjoint (w.r.t. edges) \|

Proof: i) and v) simply hold by construction

## Rep Analysis Theorem/Corollary

CFS-Algorithm known depth $r\left(4+\frac{8}{\alpha}\right)$-competitive/cost $\Theta(|E|+|V| / \alpha)$.I
über Teilbäume $T_{R}{ }^{\text {I }}$

- Subtree $T_{R}$, costl
- $K_{1}\left(T_{R}\right)$ : path from $s$ to $s_{i}$ in $G^{*}$
- $K_{2}\left(T_{R}\right)$ : DFS, $K_{3}\left(T_{R}\right)$ : bDFS (Graph!)
- $\sum_{T_{R}} K_{3}\left(T_{R}\right) \leq 2 \cdot|E|$ bDFS globall
- $\sum_{T_{R}} K_{2}\left(T_{R}\right)=\sum_{T_{R}} 2 \cdot\left|T_{R}\right| \leq 2 \cdot|E|$, DFS, disjoint
- $\sum_{T_{R}}^{T_{R}} K_{1}\left(T_{R}\right) \leq \sum_{T_{R}} 2 r \leq \frac{8}{\alpha} \sum_{T_{R}}\left|T_{R}\right| \leq \frac{8}{\alpha}|E|$


## Rep: Graphexploration, unknown depth $r$

- Doubling-Heuristic: $O(|E|+\log r|V|)$ steps Schritte, Corollaryl
- Adjust prune/explore with current value $d_{G^{*}}\left(s, s_{i}\right) \|$
- prune $\left(T_{i}, s_{i}, \frac{\alpha d_{G^{*}}\left(s, s_{i}\right)}{4}, \frac{9 \alpha d_{G^{*}}\left(s, s_{i}\right)}{16}\right) \|$
- explore $\left(\mathcal{T}, T_{i}, s_{i},(1+\alpha) d_{G^{*}}\left(s, s_{i}\right)\right)$
- Lemma iv): Rest of $T_{i}$ fully explored by DFS, all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ have size $|T| \geq \frac{d_{G^{*}}(s, T) \alpha}{4}$
- Theorem/Corollary CFS-Algorithm unknown depth $R$ is $\left(4+\frac{8}{\alpha}\right)$-competitive/has cost $\Theta(|E|+|V| / \alpha) \|$


## Look-ahead $\alpha \cdot r$ necessary

Lower bound $\Omega\left(|E|^{1+\epsilon}\right)$ Offline accumulator variant, if look-ahead is smaller than linear in $r$ (constant).॥

- $2 r$ is not sufficient: At least $2 r+1$ !
- With $2 r+o(r)$ not efficient! (small-o notation!)!
- Graph: path and clique, beyond linearl
- Accumulator size $n+f(n): \Omega\left(\frac{n^{3}}{f(n)}\right)$ Schritte!!
- $|E| \in C \cdot n^{2}, f(n)=n^{1-\epsilon} \|$
- Conjecture: $r+o(r)$ is not sufficient for tether variant. Open!!!



## Look-ahead $\alpha \cdot r$ necessary

Lemma For the accumulator variant with accumulator size of $2 r+d$ for constant $d$ there are examples where $\Omega\left(|E|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ exploration steps are necessary. II

Proof: Blackboard!॥
Note: It can still be competitive!!

## Offline cost?

- Mechanical cost/Computational costl
- Build the spanning trees॥
- Move along the shortest pathl
- Merge the trees
- DFS/bDFSI
- Not all linearl
- Exercisell


## Different model

- Vertices/Edges have been markedI
- As a landmarkI
- Assume: This is not possible! How to distinguish?
- Vertices cannot be distinguished immediately!!
- Local order of the edges is givenl
- May be not a planar embedding!
- Given: $G=(V, E, S), S$ cyclic orders!


## Different model, local order

I


From different vertices, permutation! Locally fixedl

## Mapping problem!

- Determine the graph (for navigation!)
- Store all given informationl
- Marker/pebble is necessaryll



## One-Marker Algorithm (Dudek et al.)

- Maintain known graph $S$
- List $L$ of adjacent unknown edgesl
- Choose edge $e \in L$ from some $b \in S$ ■
- Visits vertex ull
- Put pebble/marker at $u$ ll
- Search in $S$ from $b$ for the pebble \|
- If marker was not found, add edge $(b, u)$ and vertex $u$ to $S$ I
- Insert the adjacent edges from $u$ into $L \|$
- If marker has been found at known vertex $v=u$, try to search for the edge $e=(b, v)$ by the order from $b$
- For this: Place marker onto $b$, move to $b$ and then in $S$ back to $v=u$ along shortest path
- Check the outgoing edges forl
- One will be the right one! Update $S$ !
- Pseudocode! Exercise!


## Analysis: One-Marker Algorithmus

- Mechanical cost: Number of steps!!!
- Assumption: No loops!!
- Set the marker $O(1)$
- Search for the marker: DFS on vertices $2\left|V_{S}\right|$
- Bring the marker back, move back: $2\left|V_{S}\right| \boldsymbol{\|}$
- Do this for all possible edges: $O(|E| \times|V|) \|$


## Analysis: One-Marker Algorithm

- Computational cost: Offline!
- Shortest path in graphs॥
- Dijkstra: $O\left(\left|E_{S}\right|+\left|V_{S}\right| \log \left|V_{S}\right|\right)$ I
- For any edgel
- $O\left(|E|^{2}+|E||V| \log |V|\right) \mid$


## Graph-exploration

- Labyrinths, grid-graphs, gridpolygons, general graphs
- Graph-exploration: DFS and LB of 2
- Gridpolygons: Simple/general
- SmartDFS $\frac{4}{3}$, LB $\frac{7}{6}$
- STC Alg. $|C|+|B|$
- Tether/Accumulator/Depth variants: $\Theta(|E|+|V| / \alpha)$
- Marker Algorithm
- Online TSP for planar graphs!


## Kap. 2: Polygonal environments

- Set of disjoint simple polygons in the planel
- Boundary polygoni
- Different tasks: Searching for a goal/escape from a labyrinth
- Different sensor modelsI
- First: Touch sensor, precise odometry, escape from a labyrinth



## Escape from a labyrinth: Model

- Point-shaped agentI
b Touch sensorl
- Follow the wall
- Follow a direction (exact)
- Count rotational angles, in totall
- No further memoryll



## Pledge Algorithm

1. Choose angle $\varphi$, rotate agent heading in this direction.ll
2. Move into direction $\varphi$, until agent reaches the boundary.ll
3. Move right and keep in contact with the wall, Left-Hand.II
4. Follow the wall by Left-Hand-Rule and sum up the rotational angles, until the overall rotational angle attains value zero, now GOTO (2).I


## Pledge Algorithm

- Angular counter $\bmod 2 \pi=0$, not sufficient
- Only Left-Hand-Rule not sufficientl



## Correctness, structural properties, non-negative counter

Lemma The angular counter of the Pledge Algorithm is never positive.

Proof:I

- Zero at the beginningl
- Zero, when the boundary is leftI
- Right turn after hitting the boundary $\Rightarrow$ negativel
- Continuous change, zero $\Rightarrow$ movement is possiblel


## Correctness, no-success, finite path repeated

Lemma If the agent does not leave the labyrinth, after a while the agent repeatedly follows the same finite path, $\Pi_{\circ}$, again and again. Proof:I

- Path is a polygonal chainl
- Vertices I: Vertices of the polygonsll
- Vertices II: Hit-Points on the edges II
- Correspond to vertices of type II
- Finite set $S$ of possible vertices of the pathl
- The same counter value at the same vertex $\Rightarrow$ the same path again and again
- Assume: Never the same valuel
- Case 1: After a while, keeping on the boundary $\Rightarrow$ always the same path along one polygon
- Case 2: Leaving more than $|S|$ times (infinitely often)
- $\Rightarrow$ at least twice with the same value 0 at the same vertex, contradiction!


## Correctness: $\Pi_{\circ}$ no self-intersection

Lemma Asumme the agent does not leave the labyrinth by Pledge and let $\Pi_{\circ}$ be the repeated path. $\Pi_{\circ}$ has no self-intersections.

Difference: Intersection/Touching


Intersection only at the boundary! All free paths run in parallel!!

## Correctness: $\Pi_{\circ}$ no self-intersection

- Proof: Ass. Intersection! Two parts one of which is free, say $B \|$
- Shortly behind $z$ angular counter $C_{A}\left(z^{\prime}\right), C_{B}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ I
- $C_{B}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=-\beta$ and $C_{A}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=-\beta+2 k \pi$ for $k \in Z \|$



## Correctness: $\Pi_{\circ}$ no self-intersection

- $C_{B}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=-\beta$ and $C_{A}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=-\beta+2 k \pi$ for $k \in Z$
- $k=0$ ? $A$ and $B$ are the same! Contradiction!
- $k>0$ ? Lemma, $C_{A}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ negativel
- Means $k<0$ and $C_{A}(p)<C_{B}(p)$ for all $p$ from $z^{\prime}$ to $z^{\prime \prime}$
- Path $B$ leaves the obstacle first, no intersection!!!!



## Correctness proof

Theorem For any labyrinth and any starting position the pledge-algorithm will leave the labyrinth, if this is possible. Proof:I

- Ass.: Agent does not reach the boundaryll
- Lemma Path $\Pi_{\circ}$ again and again
- Lemma No intersectionsl
- Orientations of $\Pi_{0}: 1$ ) cw-order 2) ccw-orderll
- 2) $+2 \pi$ per full round, finally positive, contradictionl
- Means 1) $-2 \pi$ per full roundl
- Remains negative after a while. Moves around obstacle!
- Orientation: cw-order, Left-Hand $\Rightarrow$ Enclosed!!


## Pledge algorithm with sensor errors

- Possible errors?
- Left-Hand-Rule, stable! ॥
- Counting rotational angles! ॥
- Hold the direction in the free space!
- For example: Compass! ॥
- Full turns ok, but not precisely! |l
- Leave the obstacle slightly too early or
 too late! \|
- The main direction can be hold!
- Still correct?

