

Theoretical Aspects of Intruder Search

MA-INF 1318 Manuscript Wintersemester 2015/2016

Elmar Langetepe

Bonn, 19. October 2015

The manuscript will be successively extended during the lecture in the Wintersemester. Hints and comments for improvements can be given to Elmar Langetepe by E-Mail elmar.langetepe@informatik.uni-bonn.de. Thanks in advance!

5.6.4 Recursions

Our next task is to make the integrals in 5.24 and 5.25 disappear by iterated substitution, and then, to substitute variable l with concrete values l_1 (resp. l_2), based on Lemma 64.

Let us define, for positive real numbers x_0, \dots, x_n , the auxiliary expression

$$I_n(x_n) := \int_0^{x_n} \frac{1}{F_0(x_{n-1})} \int_0^{x_{n-1}} \frac{1}{F_0(x_{n-2})} \cdots \int_0^{x_1} \frac{1}{F_0(x_0)} dx_0 \cdots dx_{n-1}.$$

By induction on n one quickly shows

$$I_n(x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\cos^n \alpha} \left(\ln \left(\frac{A + \cos \alpha x_n}{A} \right) \right)^n$$

since $F_0(x) = A + \cos \alpha x$; compare the discussion after formula 5.18. Now we iteratively substitute G_j in formula 5.24 and obtain

$$G_{j+1}(l) = \frac{\phi_0(l_2)}{F_0(0)} \sum_{\nu=0}^j (-1)^\nu I_\nu(l) \chi_{j-\nu}(l_2) + (-1)^{j+1} I_{j+1}(l). \quad (5.26)$$

By definition of l_1 , according to formula 5.15, we have $\ln \left(\frac{A + \cos(\alpha)l_1}{A} \right) = 2\pi \cot \alpha$, so that setting $l = l_1$ in formula 5.26 leads to

$$G_j(l_1) = \frac{\phi_0(l_2)}{F_0(0)} \sum_{\nu=0}^j \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sin \alpha} \right)^\nu \chi_{j-1-\nu}(l_2) \quad (5.27)$$

where, for convenience, $\chi_{-1}(l_2) := \frac{F_0(0)}{\phi_0(l_2)}$. We observe that this formula is also true for $j = 0$. Multiplying both sides by $F_0(l_1)$, and re-substituting 5.23, results in

$$F_j(l_1) = \frac{F_0(l_1)}{F_0(0)} \sum_{\nu=0}^j \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sin \alpha} \right)^\nu \phi_{j-1-\nu}(l_2) \quad (5.28)$$

where $\phi_{-1}(l_2) := F_0(0)$.

In a similar way we solve the recursion in 5.25, using

$$\int_0^{x_n} \frac{1}{\phi_0(x_{n-1})} \int_0^{x_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{x_1} \frac{1}{\phi_0(x_0)} dx_0 \cdots dx_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{\cos^n \alpha} \left(\ln \left(\frac{x_n}{l_1} \right) \right)^n$$

and $\ln \left(\frac{l_2}{l_1} \right) = \alpha \cot \alpha$, according to formulae 5.15 and 5.16. One obtains, after substituting $l = l_2$,

$$\phi_j(l_2) = \frac{\phi_0(l_2)}{\phi_0(l_1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^j \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} \right)^\nu \hat{F}_{j-\nu}(l_1) \quad (5.29)$$

where $\hat{F}_0(l_1) := \phi_0(l_1)$ and $\hat{F}_{i+1}(l_1) := F_{i+1}(l_1)$.

5.7 Generating functions

In order to solve the cross-wise recursions 5.28 and 5.29 for the numbers $F_j(l_1)$ we are interested in, we define the generating functions

$$F(Z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j Z^j \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(Z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_j Z^j$$

where $F_j := F_j(l_1)$ and $\phi_j := \phi_j(l_2)$, for short. From 5.28 we obtain

$$F(Z) = \frac{F_0}{F_0(0)} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\sin \alpha} Z} (Z \phi(Z) + F_0(0)), \quad (5.30)$$

and from 5.29,

$$\phi(Z) = \frac{\phi_0}{\phi_0(l_1)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} Z} (Z F(Z) - F_0 + \phi_0(l_1)). \quad (5.31)$$

Both equalities can be verified by plugging in expansions of the exponential functions, using $e^W = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{W^j}{j!}$, computing the products, and comparing coefficients. Now we substitute 5.31 into 5.30, solve for $F(Z)$, divide both sides by F_0 and expand by $e^{\frac{2\pi+\alpha}{\sin \alpha} Z}$ to obtain the surprisingly simple formula

$$\frac{F(Z)}{F_0} = \frac{e^{vZ} - rZ}{e^{wZ} - sZ}, \quad (5.32)$$

where v, r, w, s are the following functions of α :

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} & \text{and } r &= e^{\alpha \cot \alpha} \\ w &= \frac{2\pi + \alpha}{\sin \alpha} & \text{and } s &= e^{(2\pi + \alpha) \cot \alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

We recall that α denotes the angle of the fighter's velocity vector, given by $\alpha = \cos^{-1}(1/v)$.

It is possible to expand the inverse of the denominator in 5.32 into a power series. This leads to interesting expressions for the F_j ; but how to derive their signs seems not obvious.

5.8 Singularities

Both, numerator and denominator of function $F(Z)$, are analytic on the complex plane. Thus, singularities of F can arise only from zeroes of the denominator,

$$e^{wZ} - sZ. \quad (5.34)$$

This equation has received some attention in the area of delay differential equations. We need a simple fact that has been published in [?].

Lemma 65 *For $s < ew$, equation 5.34 has an infinite, discrete set of conjugate complex zeroes none of which are real.*

As the fighter's speed v increases from 1 to infinity, angle α of her velocity vector increases from 0 to $\pi/2$. This causes the ratio s/w in 5.34 to decrease from infinity to zero. Exactly at $v_c = 2.6144\dots$ does the equality $s/w = e$ hold. Hence, for $v > v_c$ we have $s < ew$, so that the denominator of $F(Z)$ has infinitely many strictly complex zeroes. The root classification given in [?] shows that only few of them can cancel out with the numerator of 5.32. A complete proof of this fact can also be found in [?], Lemma 10-13.

We obtain the following from the above.

Lemma 66 *If $v > v_c$ then function $F(Z)$ has an infinite, discrete set of complex poles none of which are real.*

We are going to apply the following result from complex function theory; see, for example, [?] p. 240.

Theorem 67 (Pringsheim) *Let $H(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n$ be a power series with finite radius of convergence, R . If $H(Z)$ has only non-negative coefficients a_n , then point $Z = R$ is a singularity of $H(z)$.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 59.

Proof.[Proof of Theorem 59] Suppose that the fighter's speed v is larger than $v_c \approx 2.6144$. By Lemma 66, $F(Z)$ does have a discrete set of poles, and therefore, a finite radius of convergence, R . If all coefficients F_j of $F(Z)$ were positive, R would be a singularity of $F(Z)$, by Pringsheim's theorem; but we know from Lemma 66 that there are no real singularities. Thus, there must be coefficients $F_j \leq 0$, and we conclude from Lemma 64 that the fighter succeeds in containing the fire. \square